

Everglades Coalition

November 1, 2019

Miami-Dade County Planning Advisory Board Stephen P. Clark Center 111 N.W. First Street, 2nd Floor Commission Chambers, Miami, Florida 33128

Re: Evaluation and Appraisal Report

Dear Chairman Rinehart,

On behalf of the 62 member organizations of the Everglades Coalition, we respectfully submit the following comments supporting staff recommendations for updates to the CDMP through the Evaluation Appraisal Report process. As many of our member groups served on the Mayor's Urban Expansion Area Task Force, we support the findings in that final report and reject the changes proposed in the Urban Expansion Area Minority Report. In recent years, the proposition to expand Urban Expansion Area 2 was introduced to our member groups on the Task Force, and they have already objected to this measure.

Miami-Dade's Urban Development Boundary (UDB) is an indispensable planning tool that preserves the East Coast buffer, which consists of farms, wetlands, and open spaces that divide the dense urban corridor of Miami-Dade County from Everglades National Park in the west and Biscayne National Park in the east. Preservation of this area is integral to the success of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP). The Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) carves out several areas called "Urban Expansion Areas" (UEAs); we have requested to remove a portion of these areas to exclude CERP footprints and wetlands. Few changes have occurred in the territorial extent of the UEAs since they were last modified in 1990, despite significant developments such as the establishment of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP), the West Wellfield Protection Zone, and a greater understanding of the looming threat of climate change.

The Everglades Coalition has long advocated against expanding the UBD, as doing so would make CERP restoration objectives, as well as flood control, more difficult and costly for Miami-Dade to fulfill. Areas protected by the UDB, many of which are low lying and prone to flooding, encompass wellfield protection areas, as well as areas located over highly transmissive aquifers that are important for drinking water recharge and protection against saltwater intrusion to the aquifer.

1000 Friends of Florida
Arthur R. Marshall Foundation
Audubon Florida
Audubon of Southwest Florida
Audubon of the Western Everglades
Audubon Society of the Everglades
Backcountry Fly Fishers of Naples
Calusa Waterkeeper
Cape Coral Friends of Wildlife
Center for Biological Diversity
Conservancy of Southwest Florida
Defenders of Wildlife
"Ding" Darling Wildlife Society
Earthjustice
Environment Florida

Everglades Foundation Everglades Law Center Everglades Trust Florida Bay Forever

Florida Conservation Voters Education Fund Florida Defenders of the Environment Florida Keys Environmental Fund Florida Native Plant Society Florida Oceanographic Society Friends of the Arthur R. Marshall Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge Friends of the Everglades

Hendry-Glades Audubon Society International Dark-Sky Association, FL Chapter Izaak Walton League of America

Izaak Walton League Florida Division Izaak Walton League Florida Keys Chapter Izaak Walton League Mangrove Chapter Lake Worth Waterkeeper Last Stand

League of Women Voters of Florida Martin County Conservation Alliance Miami Pine Rocklands Coalition Miami Waterkeeper National Audubon Society National Parks Conservation Association

National Wildlife Refuge Association Natural Resources Defense Council North Carolina Outward Bound School Ocean Research & Conservation Association Peace River Audubon Society

Reef Relief
Sanibel-Captiva Conservation Foundation

Sanibel-Captiva Conservation Foundation Sierra Club

Sierra Club Florida Chapter Sierra Club Broward Group Sierra Club Calusa Group

Sierra Club Central Florida Group Sierra Club Loxahatchee Group

Sierra Club Miami Group Snook and Gamefish Foundation South Florida Audubon Society Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

The Florida Wildlife Federation
The Institute for Regional Conservation
The National Wildlife Federation
Theodore Roosevelt Conservation

Partnership
Tropical Audubon Society

The UEA Minority Report, which sets forth a recommendation to expand UEA 2 to encompass an additional 2,000 acres, does not reflect the consensus of the UEA Task Force, county staff recommendations, or the agriculture community. The proposed expansion, which includes a statement of intent to erect additional roadways supporting new development, reflects neither the best interests of the County nor the best interests of its citizens. The Everglades Coalition maintains a strong opposition to any efforts to encroach on the Urban Development Boundary (UDB), as referenced in the Coalition's initial comments on the 2018 Evaluation and Appraisal Report. Compliance with existing Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) and the protection of agricultural land should be prioritized over expansion of developable land. We should instead turn our attention to infill and transit-oriented development.

The Coalition reaffirms its recommendations for the Miami-Dade County's 2018 Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR) as follows:

1. Redraw UEA boundaries Respecting Existing CDMP Restrictions.

Lands falling under any of the four conditions that are incompatible with development should be removed from UEA boundaries. These conditions include future wetlands, lands designated for agriculture, hurricane evacuation areas, and lands included in the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan. Wellfield Protection Zones should also be withdrawn (CDMP Policies LU-8G and CON-4A).

A majority of the Task Force voted against the expansion of each of the four UEAs, with majority shares voting to maintain UEA 1 and to contract UEAs 2, 3, and 4. These proposed boundaries are indicative of the desire of the majority to curb excessive expansion and preserve environmental integrity.

2. Account for Predicted Sea Level Rise.

The County must take the reality of sea level rise into account when making decisions, prioritizing the protection of lands deemed crucial for aquifer recharge and environmental resilience. Sea level rise may dramatically alter viability of development and compromise county and private investments. The Coalition stands with the 89% of members of the Task Force who agree that the County must take these changes into account when delineating coastal high hazard areas.

Development should not be allowed on land lying in flood zones A, AE, or AH, or any area identified as a "Coastal High Hazard Area". Failure to account for the compounding impact of sea level rise on storm surge endangers both citizens and property.

3. Encourage Smart Growth in Policies and Investment.

Zoning policies should prioritize infill development, walkability, and transit-oriented development. County investment in existing public transit infrastructure and development of public transit as outlined under the SMART plan will eliminate the need for new roadways outside of the UDB.

Committed to full protection and restoration of America's Everglades

4. Eliminate Requirement for Supply of Developable Land.

Policy LU-8F requires that the CDMP provide for a 15-year supply of "developable land" to satisfy projected residential demand. This policy encourages sprawl into vulnerable environments and needlessly puts the County's resources, agriculture, and citizens at risk.

5. <u>Lengthen Interval between CDMP Amendment Applications.</u>

Most of the changes to the UDB since its creation have been implemented not because of legitimate need, but because of developers' persistent attempts to apply for amendment. To demonstrate the County's commitment to preserving the UDB and investing in the existing urban landscape, the permitted interval between amendment applications should be increased from two to ten years.

6. Reduce the Territorial Extent of Urban Expansion Areas (UEAs) 2 & 3.

Development within these areas would be most devastating to the County's natural resources. These areas include future wetlands, lands designated for agriculture, hurricane evacuation areas, and lands that are part of CERP, as designated in these graphics.

We ask that you reject the Minority Report's recommendation to drastically expand the UEAs, which would threaten critically important areas with further development. We should instead address housing, population growth, and infrastructure issues through the more sustainable and pragmatic means proposed in the Miami-Dade County Urban Expansion Area Final Report and by planning staff. Investing in public transit infrastructure and prioritizing infill development can accommodate population growth and needs without implementing policies that will permanently damage areas required for restoration success. Protecting the East Coast buffer is imperative to preserving the long-term resource needs of the County and its citizens, and to ensuring the success of Everglades Restoration.

Sincerely,

Mark Perry Co-Chair Marisa Carrozzo

nair Co-Chair

Cc: Honorable Carlos A. Gimenez, Mayor Miami-Dade County Commissioners